



# March Action Report

www.freedomfirstsociety.org

March 2019

## “The Great American Swindle” (2007) — Today

Without question, much of the Establishment media (excluding Fox News) loves to depict President Trump as ignorant, reckless, and dishonest. In fact, the media is more blatantly nasty toward the President than to any president we can remember, at least as far back as Richard Nixon.

But does this mean that President Trump is actually a threat to the Establishment? We argue no. We’re just witnessing a professional wrestling match designed to distract attention from the Insider agenda. But before we explain, let’s look at two examples of the endless media sniping

at the President.

**Example 1:** Yahoo News (Jan. 29, 2019): “Trump, *sounding off* on climate change, *loses an argument to actual climatologists* — government ones”:

“In the beautiful Midwest, wind chill temperatures are reaching minus 60 degrees, the coldest ever recorded,” the president tweeted Monday night. “In coming days, expected to get even colder. People can’t last outside even for minutes. What the hell is going on with Global Waming [sic]? Please come back fast, we need you!”

**Yahoo News continues:** “While Trump may not understand how global warming can lead to extreme cold, members of his own government made a point of reminding Americans of just that Tuesday.” [All emphasis added.]

But Climate-Change fear-mongers can’t have it both ways. They frequently cite major weather events as evidence of climate change.


## In This Report

- 1 “The Great American Swindle”
- 3 Q Revisited
- 4 Grow to Win!  
Save Our Constitution!

Fox News: State of the Union



USNationalDebt.org



The Hill

## White House budget projects \$1 trillion deficit in 2019

### Committing socialist suicide:

In his recent State of the Union Address, President Trump announced: “Tonight we renew our resolve that America will never be a socialist country.” Most of the Congress (including leftist Chuck Schumer) stood and applauded. But he shamelessly proposed money for new socialist inroads, e.g.: “I

am also proud to be the first President to include in my

budget a plan for nationwide paid family leave — so that every new parent has the chance to bond with their newborn child.” Conveniently ignored: our out-of-control National Debt (up more than \$2 trillion during the President’s first two years) and the need to roll back entrenched socialist inroads to make America *truly* great again.



Although the two are different, they *are* related — in a way that, as the President tweeted, often *contradicts* the fear-mongers. A 2005 NASA article explains: “Weather is what conditions of the atmosphere are over a short period of time, and climate is how the atmosphere ‘behaves’ over relatively long periods of time. When we talk about climate change, we talk about changes in long-term averages of daily weather.”

**Example 2:** NBC provided a “fact check” of the President’s February 5th State of the Union address. But someone needs to fact-check those posturing as “fact checkers.”

**Trump:** “Wealthy politicians and donors push for open borders while living their lives behind walls, and gates, and guards.”

**NBC:** “No prominent lawmakers

have called for open borders.”

*Prominent* lawmakers are generally careful (as is NBC) not to reveal their revolutionary hand openly. There *is* a very real open borders movement, driven by the Establishment of which NBC is a part. Recall the July 2, 2001 *Wall Street Journal* editorial “Open NAFTA Borders? Why Not?”:

Reformist Mexican President Vicente Fox raises eyebrows with his suggestion that over a decade or two NAFTA should evolve into something like the European Union, with open borders for not only goods and investment but also people. He can rest assured that there is one voice north of the Rio Grande that supports his vision. To wit, this newspaper.

**Instead — our objection:** Trump did not expose *the subversive agenda* of the forces pushing for open borders. Instead, with his USMCA upgrade to NAFTA, he promotes the Vicente Fox vision of open borders, while claiming we desperately need a border wall. Which is it?

### What the Hostility Doesn’t Mean

It is no secret that most Establishment journalists and editors have a liberal bias. And since President Trump seeks to appeal to his conservative base, it’s natural for much of the media to use every opportunity to make him look foolish. But that doesn’t necessarily mean that President Trump threatens the Establishment agenda — or even intends to. Recall that the Establishment’s *Washington Post* helped force President Nixon to resign, even though Nixon and his Secretary of State, arch-Insider Henry Kissinger, had implemented a major Establishment objective, i.e., launching the build-up of Communist China’s economic power at America’s expense.

In fact, we see the greatest impact of President Trump as putting the

conservative resistance to sleep while the Insider agenda *advances*. (And unfair media sniping merely hardens his support.)


The President’s State of the Union address continued that neutralizing impact. Parts of his address were solidly conservative, much like the words of Ronald Reagan, now elevated to a conservative icon by the Establishment. (Actor Reagan could deliver a stirring conservative speech, but others ran his administration, such as his Secretary of State George Pratt Shultz, a CFR director when nominated, and his Vice President George H.W. Bush, a former CFR director). For example, President Trump warned:

Here, in the United States, we are alarmed by new calls to adopt socialism in our country. America was founded on liberty and independence — not government coercion, domination, and control. We are born free, and we will stay free. Tonight, we renew our resolve that America will never be a socialist country.

But words are cheap. Even Senator Chuck Schumer applauded the President’s warning. Yet elsewhere in the address President Trump *proposed new socialist programs*, while ignoring our national debt, now topping \$22 trillion — more than \$2 trillion higher than when he took office. And he offered no leadership to make America great again by rolling back *previous* socialist inroads (other than perhaps tinkering with ObamaCare).

The Trump deception that paralyzes conservative resistance to the revolutionary assault is two-fold. First is his claim that a president alone can solve our problems — particularly when the driver of those problems is a Conspiracy. He does not call for any significant grassroots action, other than uninformed support at the ballot box.

Wikimedia Commons



**The trade deception:** Former Mexican President Vicente Fox understood that NAFTA was a steppingstone to *regional government*, as with the EU. The “conservative” *Wall Street Journal* supported Fox’s vision of open borders. President Trump’s renegotiated NAFTA continues that agenda.



And the second part of the deception is to divert attention from the real source of America's problems with *weak substitutes*, such as fake news, the Deep State, or bipartisan *differences*. It is absurd to imagine that the influence of a powerful Conspiracy can be overcome while hiding its existence. As an example of such misdirection, consider the President's televised challenge to Congress, as he winds up his address:

We must choose whether we are defined by our differences — or whether we dare to transcend them.

We must choose whether we will squander our inheritance — or whether we will proudly declare that we are Americans....

This is the time to rekindle the bonds of love and loyalty and memory that link us together as citizens, as neighbors, as patriots....

No matter the trials we face, no matter the challenges to come, we must go forward together.

We must keep America first in our hearts. We must keep freedom alive in our souls. And we must always keep faith in America's destiny....

### Q Revisited

Our opening essay in the January *Action Report* warned that the Q-movement was not America's salvation. This prompted a Q-follower to email us his

objections. The following thoughts, based on our reply to his criticism, may be helpful to others.

The purpose of our January essay was to create separation between our claims and those of the Q-movement. It was intended for our adherents and their *uncommitted* prospects.

Our disappointed critic objected to our implied criticism of the Q soldiers (anons): "Q is organizing the autonomous army but it is the soldiers that are doing the fight..." We replied that it was not our intention to disparage the efforts of those sincerely trying to correct our nation's ills, just because we disagree over method. The soldiers in this battle always deserve the credit and little can be accomplished without them.

Our critic claimed that "these anons have helped awaken millions to the truth." We were skeptical. How were such numbers determined? From cumulative website traffic (as opposed to unique visitors)? Or are the numbers just conveniently *claimed*? (With such claimed influence should we not begin to see constitutionalists elected to Congress?) And to what degree are those millions awakened? Are they awakened just to an understanding that our nation has a serious problem or to leadership for a realistic solution?

### Importance of Reliability

We claim that a reliable source is essential in the battle for public opinion. The Q-movement cannot claim reliability when anyone can represent the movement and make

any claims he wishes, anonymously. As our critic wrote: "Nobody knows who anyone is and anyone can post whatever they want. Some people post gay porn...."

We believe that many of the movers and shakers we need to reach will not take the time to wade through such stuff to find bits of hidden truth.

Our critic had previous experience with the John Birch Society, which may have given him more discernment than other "anons" without that background. So we reminded him of a few lessons from Robert Welch, founder of the John Birch Society. The John Birch Society was a monolithic organization, with an *identifiable* head and advisory Council. Only a very few were authorized to speak officially for the JBS, helping to ensure a consistent, reliable message and leadership. Contrast that with: "Q sends us crumbs and then the people do the digging."

### Importance of Personal Leadership

Mr. Welch devoted a significant segment in his founding speech (published as *The Blue Book*) to the need for personal leadership: "For we simply are not going to be able to save our country from either the immediate threat of Communism, or the long-range threat of socialism, by organizational leadership. Our only possible chance is dynamic personal leadership." Contrast that with: "Q is not leading anyone."

It's hard to imagine any war being won without leadership. Are a bunch of soldiers running around doing their own thing going to prevail over an enemy, particularly one as powerful and as experienced in revolutionary deception as the one we face?

(Is there really a single Q? Or are there perhaps several Q's. Or perhaps Q is a board or committee from some organization. How would we know?)

### Opportunity or a Wasteful Tangent?

Our critic says: "Why in the world would the FFS not want to teach their





members how to be a part of the huge movement?”

Unfortunately, with no leadership, any claims of the Q-movement are easily discredited. For years, through its grip on the media, the Conspiracy has highlighted wild Conspiracy theories and then ridiculed ALL talk of Conspiracy as of that stripe. While the tactic can be exposed, more wild theories don't help a bit.

On more than one occasion, Robert Welch published recommended reading lists. One such list — “One Hundred Steps to the Truth” — comprised an entire issue of *American Opinion*. The issue reviewed 100 books — a one-year reading assignment, which Mr. Welch felt would give the serious student a good understanding of the Conspiracy.

How reliable are the books on a Q-website reading list? Can one find Freedom First Society's *Masters of Deception* or *The President Makers* on the list? And suppose someone did

post those titles, what else might be on the list to poison the punch? No, we don't see how much truly influential can be accomplished without reliable, identifiable leadership.

**Note:** It is not necessary to know everything about the Conspiracy BEFORE embarking on a sound plan of action. (In fact, many details of the Conspiracy would naturally come to light only AFTER an enlightened public empowers the proper investigating committees.)

Although the spread of the Q-movement does signal that resistance in America is still alive, what's needed is EFFECTIVE resistance, not another tangent to siphon off that energy.

### Grow to Win!

We regularly call on members to help our membership and Chapters grow. However, members can also help us with another vital area of growth —

financial support — by interesting men (and women) of means in Freedom First Society. Major new financial support is the key to increasing our staff support — in the field and in leadership with research and development of new tools.


A good Chapter project is for members to brainstorm who they know among business and professional people in their communities and begin a program of regular contact. Of course, we recommend sharing our scorecard trifold as one step (25 for \$5, 50 for \$7, 100 for \$10, plus \$2 shipping & handling).

### Save Our Constitution!

by Andrew Carver

As predicted, the pro-Con-con forces have pulled out all of the stops this year to get their desired Con-con. Since sessions started, we've averaged more than 5 “blast” alerts per week!

These are all important alerts, so if you haven't signed up for these email alerts, please consider joining our alert-list team. Email me at [andrew.carver@freedomfirstsociety.org](mailto:andrew.carver@freedomfirstsociety.org).



**More determined than ever:** The well funded Con-con forces have greatly stepped up their efforts this year to convince state legislatures to call for an Article V Convention. Relatively new organizations with flashy websites, such as the above “Center for State-Led National Debt Solutions” (CSNDS), have bolstered those forces.

### Action Summary

**Note:** Some requests below are ongoing from previous *Action Reports*.

1. **Share** recent website post alerts. **Follow up.** **Invite** good prospects to join FFS.
2. **Schedule** a live group-presentation of “Which World Will It Be?” by FFS President G. Vance Smith.
3. **Share** our FFS website scorecards (online and hardcopy) and our new trifold.
4. **Sign up** for Andrew Carver's email Con-con alerts. **Inform** new state legislators re the case against a Con-con.